

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/940,117 08/28/2001		08/28/2001	Vincent P. Robibero	132702-0114		
50659	7590	10/10/2006		EXAMINER		
BUTZEL L	ONG		VAN BRAMER, JOHN W			
STONERID	GE WEST	1	270,411,020			
41000 WOO	DWARD	AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BLOOMFIE	LD HILL	S, MI 48304	3622			

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/940,117	ROBIBERO, VINCENT P.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
John Van Bramer	3622		

		Joint van Bramer	3022	
The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	Idress
THE REPLY FILED 18 September 2006 FAILS	TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDIT	ION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, be this application, applicant must timely file of places the application in condition for allow a Request for Continued Examination (RC time periods: 	ut prior to or on one of the follov vance; (2) a No E) in compliand	the same day as filing a Not ving replies: (1) an amendme tice of Appeal (with appeal fe the with 37 CFR 1.114. The re	ice of Appeal. To avoid at nt, affidavit, or other evide e) in compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mail no event, however, will the statutory period	for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the	mailing date of the final reject	ction.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION	ON. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.1 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiraset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See NOTICE OF APPEAL	the period of exaction date of the soy the Office later	tension and the corresponding a shortened statutory period for rep than three months after the mai	mount of the fee. The approp by originally set in the final O	priate extension fee ffice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacements).	a)), or any exte	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37)	(e)), to avoid dismissal of	iths of the date of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	~			.
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would red (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (s) 	quire further co	nsideration and/or search (se		because
(c) They are not deemed to place the ap			ally reducing or simplifying	g the issues for
appeal; and/or	ut canceling a	corresponding number of fins	ally rejected claims	
(d) They present additional claims witho	-		my rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (S 4. The amendments are not in compliance w			on Compliant Amendmen	# (DTOL_324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the follow			on-compliant Amendmen	it (F TOL-524).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the follows Newly proposed or amended claim(s)			arate, timely filed amendn	nent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed ame how the new or amended claims would be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as Claim(s) allowed:	rejected is pro-		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-35</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a because applicant failed to provide a show was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1. 	ving of good an	it before or on the date of filind d sufficient reasons why the a	ig a Notice of Appeal will i affidavit or other evidence	<u>not</u> be entered is necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the entered because the affidavit or other evid showing a good and sufficient reasons why 	ence failed to	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under	appeal and/or appellant t	fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims a	after entry is below or atta	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been	n considered bu	t does NOT place the applica	ation in condition for allow	ance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	- Sund	ande
			ERIC W. STA SUPERVISORY PATE TECHNOLOGY CE	nt examiner
			I FOLUTOFOR OF	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment narrows the scope of the claims as to the purpose of monitoring and the relationship between the value and limit claimed. Therefore, additional consideration and/or search would be required.

Continuation of 13. Other: The applicant argues that Gronemeyer does not state or suggest that product information stored on the server includes a limit corresponding to a possible parametric data information of an associated operating parameter. The information stored in Gronemeyer included the number of hard drives and hard drive capacity (CoI 3, lines 10-37). In this instance, capacity is a limit and the number of hard drives is posible parametric data information of an associated operating parameter. The applicant also argues that Gronemeyer does not suggest that the server generates an offer of goods or services based upon this relationship. However, Gronemeyer describes this interaction on CoI 2, lines 41-57).